MINUTES OF MEETING
GRIFFIN LAKES
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

The regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the Griffin Lakes Community Development District was held on Thursday, August 11, 2022, at 9:30 a.m. at The Villas at Harbor Isles Office HOA offices, 2317 Clipper Place, Fort Lauderdale, Florida.

Present and constituting a quorum were:

Teresa West	Chairman
Linda Armstrong	Vice Chairman
Benny Barak	Assistant Secretary
Madeline DiComo	Assistant Secretary

Also present were:

Ginger Wald	District Counsel
Juliana Duque	District Manager
Patrick Burgess	Governmental Management Services
Angel Camacho	Alvarez Engineers
Nicole Vernon	Resident (by phone)

FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS — Roll Call

Ms. Duque called the meeting to order and called the roll.

SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS — Approval of Minutes of the July 14, 2022 Meeting

Ms. Duque: The first item is the approval of the minutes of the July 14, 2022 meeting. This is the moment to present any additions, corrections, or deletions. Not hearing any, a motion to approve them will take place

> On MOTION by Mr. Barak seconded by Ms. Armstrong with all in favor, the Minutes of the July 14, 2022 Meeting were approved.

THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS Ratification of Small Project Agreement (Storm System Cleaning 2022) with Raptor Vac-Systems Inc.

Ms. Duque: The next item is the ratification of the small project agreement, the storm system cleaning 2022 with Raptor Vac-Systems. As the Board might recall, this item was already approved, the work was already completed, and some details and more information will be provided during the manager's report. At this point, we just need to ratify the agreement so that it's part of the record of today's meeting, so a motion to ratify will take place.

> On MOTION by Ms. Armstrong seconded by Ms. West with all in favor, ratifying the Small Project Agreement with Raptor Vac-Systems Inc. for storm system cleaning 2022 was approved.

FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS Staff Reports

Ms. Duque: Let's move forward to staff reports, attorney.

A. Attorney – Discussion of Memorandum on 2022 Legislative Update

Ms. Wald: Ok, so in your packet is our infamous legislative update, this is 2022 and the legislature was extremely busy, they also went later because they extended twice. A couple of things that potentially could affect this District would be, again, the advertising, the state is moving away from newspapers and they tried to do it at the last legislative session, but they didn't do the greatest job because there were still some gaps, they've added some more to it with going ahead and doing online advertisement within the county itself. So, as soon as all those things are worked out and added to the county system, and be able to utilize Broward County, then hopefully we'll be able to move away from the expense of newspapers and move forward with online advertisements. Once that's all worked out and goes into effect, I think that's something that, again, down the road, will be a savings expense for all the CDDs that go for it, as of now, we have to continue to use the same methodology. And there are some other things that are in here, I don't think it's directly affecting this CDD. There are some changes to the audits, that additionally went into effect, so you'll see a couple of the line

items when your audits are going forward that was added by the legislature. Again, nothing drastic to this CDD that is going to necessarily have to worry about. There's some lobbying, I don't know if any of you are looking to retire from your positions to become lobbyists, but if you do now you're going to have some additional requirements that you're not going to be able to lobby where you sat as a Board member for a period of 3 years but, and of course when you do that, please give me a call and I will tell you what you can or cannot do as that changes over time.

Mr. Barak: Is it 3 years, it says 6 years period after you are on the Board.

Ms. Wald: It depends on what you're doing. So, it's direct as to your place, and then as to any other governmental entities within your county, so it really depends on which tier you're doing, but you have to be a professional lobbyist. So, unless you decide to become a professional lobbyist and do that, I really wouldn't worry about it too much. As to each one of these laws recorded, that have been enacted, of course you have blurbs, if you want the entire Chapter, please let me know, we will go ahead and send that to you either by snail mail or by email, they're great to help you fall asleep at night, I know they work wonders for me, but if there's anything in here, just let us know and we'll send it to you.

Ms. Duque: Ginger, I think one that is important perhaps to mention to the Board also is the exemption for public records.

Ms. Wald: Well, so we don't have a security system here so I didn't really bring it up, but the security is provided by the HOA, it's not provided by the CDD. In other CDDs, you know the public records law, if somebody or anybody can ask for any public records, or any records that the CDD has, there's certain exemptions to that, and so what was added by this legislation, and I think it's #2, is specific things in regards to certain security, so your networking systems, your computer systems, information that you have directly related to that. If you have, a lot of people got hit with ransomware, so this really is affecting specifically your computer systems itself. So, let's say a public records request came and it was for some computer records that your District manager keeps as part of their recordkeeping, depending on what it is as to the IT systems, that now is a public records exemption, it has been clarified, it was kind of wishy-washy before, whether you had to provide it, or not provide it, and now it's specifically in there. Again, I

don't see it as being something drastic as to this CDD because you don't have a lot of cybersecurity things and issues like that.

Mr. Barak: Like sensitive information.

Ms. Wald: Right, because the protections are already in place because you have a District management company. If you were doing it yourself, I'd be more concerned, and say, yes you don't have to provide it in that format. We could talk about it for hours, but it's kind of boring stuff to most people, but anyway, that's part of it, and like I said, there were a lot of different additions thereto, a lot of different changes, but specifically, in regards to this CDD I really don't see too much. We already did the stormwater analysis report, that was the biggie from last year. The question is always going to be, what's going to happen from that, I don't think anybody knows, I know that the county has sent all theirs in, so we'll just wait for the state to see what they do. Whether they're going to continue to require this, for the reporting, or they're going to get rid of it, who knows because it's every 5 years. So, we'll see what happens because obviously, that's another expense, and that's basically it. So, if anybody has any questions feel free to ask now, or you can always get in touch with me if there's questions lately on after your review.

Ms. Duque: Thank you so much Ginger for that report.

Ms. Wald: You're welcome.

B. Engineer

1) **Revised Construction Proposals with Landshore Enterprises, LLC – New Proposal to be Presented**
2) **Quote/Contract for Wooden Bulkhead Reconditioning with Absolute Pro Construction**

Ms. Duque: Let's move forward to engineer report. So, I have attached two proposals for the Board of Supervisors so we can discuss. Remember, it's very important that we understand this but, today we cannot make a decision, that's not the main point of this meeting. It's to understand what is being done, what needs to be done, and more or less what are the numbers and figures that we're going to be talking about. So, it's like kind of doing our due diligence because I know the Board, during the last meeting, was a little bit concerned about the time period, and it was stated by your attorney, also by the District manager at that time, Paul Winkeljohn, that assisted us at that meeting that we will not be able to start this process until we get the funds because

we do not have the funds. You're going to be receiving your tax bills more or less now in August, and it depends on how people pay, but they will pay starting in November, or December, so more or less by January or February is when we're going to start seeing some of the funds in our hands. So, that's so the Board understands that this is not going to be an easy process, and we are not going to start right now, but at least this will give us a little bit of information on what needs to be done, perhaps concerns that the Board has about certain things that are going to be done or the way they're going to be done, so we can express those to the District engineer and go from there.

Ms. West: Is that in here?

Ms. Duque: It is in there Madeline.

Ms. Armstrong: It's B, section 4B.

Ms. Duque: Yes, that is correct, it's right here. So, let's move forward with it, we have Angel here from the District engineer's office so I think I'm going to let Angel present the item so that the Board can discuss it, go ahead Angel.

Mr. Camacho: Good morning, everyone. So, at our last meeting, we had, there was some direction for Landshore to provide revised proposals for lakes #4 and #5. Lake #4 and #5 are the two lakes located at the entrance, the north side being lake #4, and the south lake, #5. Now, the reason for this revised proposal was, if you recall, there were certain priorities on the shoreline of the lakes that Miguel from Landshore provided in his analysis. Now, the idea for the revised proposal was to include the whole shoreline, being that we're already going to mobilize those areas and that's why you will see these two proposals for lakes #4 and #5 which include the entire shoreline which are if I'm not mistaken, all the priorities within lakes #4 and #5 which you see here.

Ms. Duque: Thank you, Angel, and just for the record, we can mention that in terms of lake #4 the total amount that we have received is $39,179.12 and for lake #5 we have a total amount of $68,529.37. Now, I would like to mention to the Board, once again, as we have discussed, that we are right now, all of the prices the vendors are giving us in the proposals sometimes they are telling us, we'll keep this price only for 15 days, some others 30 days, but they are not holding those prices because right now we are in an inflationary environment and I have seen it in other Districts, even with small projects, that the increase is more than half the amount that they have given us the first time, it's crazy. So, I want the Board to understand this because the numbers right now

might not be the same ones as when we approach the project. I do know that Juan Alvarez Engineer's office approached Landshore, this proposal as you can see is dated from April, and as of today they have kept the same price, so they haven't changed it, just for the record. Do you have any questions for Angel about those two proposals? The work that is being done, do you understand the scope of work?

Ms. Armstrong: Yes, I do.

Ms. Duque: Ok.

Ms. Vernon: I have a question.

Ms. Duque: Go ahead, Nicole.

Ms. Vernon: With those two lakes being in the front of the community, would the entryway or the exit be impacted to residents getting in and out of the community?

Mr. Camacho: So, there's actually two points of entry for the lakes, for the lake maintenance, now they'll have to access through the roundabout, at least the northern lake, and the one at the south is just east of the pool area, but there's actual lake maintenance access points for them to use.

Ms. Duque: Ok.

Mr. Barak: So, it won't impact the traffic flow or anything?

Mr. Camacho: Not the entrance, no. So, during this process, they're doing what's called geo tubing so about 15 minutes per day at different times, as timing permits they'll be pumping those bags, and the dump truck will be at that roundabout for about 15 minutes.

Mr. Barak: Ok.

Ms. Armstrong: Are there any bulkheads in those two areas?

Mr. Camacho: There is.

Ms. Duque: We do have some.

Ms. Armstrong: Ok, so will it interfere, or how are they going to do it with the bulkheads?

Mr. Camacho: I mean if I'm allowed to speak about the next proposal.

Ms. Armstrong: Yes, ok.

Ms. Duque: Just a quick question Angel before we move forward, I think it's important, and Linda brought this to my attention as well, and I believe that point is very valid. On Landshore Enterprises, when it comes to the number of lakes, we start from

the back, and I'm going to show you the picture here, I don't know if you can see it Nicole, but I'm going from the back of the community to towards the front.

Ms. Vernon: Yes.

Ms. Duque: So, they name the first lake, the one in the back and they go towards the entrance and that's why we have #4 and #5, right? For RDC which is the report that we received back in January, and what was provided to us in December was what we discussed during the January meeting from RDC, they actually started numbering the lakes from the entrance, #1 to all the way towards the back. So, we talk about lake #5, on the Landshore proposal, it's actually lake #1 on the RDC report, so I just wanted you to see if we can talk to the vendors and I think the way Landshore has it, which is starting #1 from the entrance in, that makes more sense so we can change the numbers. So, when we talk about lake #1, everybody knows what lake bank is at lake #1, so I think it's a very valid point that Linda brought up.

Ms. Wald: So, which one is #4?

Ms. Duque: So, we're going to keep lake numbers now that we have from Landshore.

Mr. Barak: This one has #1, #2, #3, and #4, so this is correct, Absolute Pro.

Ms. Duque: Yes, sorry RDC has it in that order, so RDC is the one that starts from the entrance, #1, #2, and #3, not Landshore.

Mr. Barak: Right, Landshore has #4 and #5.

Ms. Duque: Correct, yes.

Ms. Wald: So, Landshore is correct or incorrect?

Ms. Duque: No, Landshore is incorrect.

Ms. Wald: So, lake #4, should be lake #1?

Ms. Duque: Correct, yes. So, let me show you here, lake #5 is actually lake #1.

Ms. Wald: Ok, so #5 should be #1.

Ms. Duque: And then lake #4, is actually #2.

Ms. Wald: And lake #4, should be #2, ok.

Ms. Duque: Yes, does that make sense for everyone? Yes?

Ms. West: Yes, but we should change it.

Ms. Wald: That's what we're talking about.

Ms. West: So, as you're coming through the gate, the one on the north side is what number, #2?

Ms. Duque: Say that again, sorry.

Mr. Barak: When you're driving into the community, the lake on your right.

Ms. Duque: On your right that would be #4, if you're coming into the community, on your right, for them it's #4.

Mr. Barak: Right, but she's asking if that's #1 or #2.

Ms. Duque: Correct.

Ms. West: So, are you going to change the numbers so they're all the same?

Mr. Barak: Yes.

Ms. Wald: Yes, we're going to make them the same.

Ms. Duque: Yes.

Ms. West: Ok, so on the right-hand side, the north one?

Ms. Duque: That will be #2, and on the left, that would be #1.

Ms. West: Ok.

Ms. Duque: So, entering the community, it would be the left one and the right one.

Ms. Wald: So, the total amount for these two lakes which now will be renumbered #1 and #2, for #4 and #5 is $107,708.49.

Ms. Duque: Correct.

Ms. Wald: So, because it is under the threshold amount, you do not have to go out for a competitive bid. Therefore, once you have money, we can bring it forward with an agreement.

Ms. Duque: Yes, that is correct, and once again, we hope that the amount is not going to increase.

Ms. Wald: So, if the Board chooses to what we could do today, is you could approve these proposals today, with the changing of the numbers to the lakes as we just discussed, and you can proceed forward with staff preparing an agreement, submitting that agreement over to Landshore and have, if they agree to, those prices to be held for that time certain for their start date, commencement date. A notice to proceed basically, with the understanding that the notice to proceed probably isn't going to be until January.

Ms. Armstrong: So, we're still going to proceed in the manner of which needs to be addressed first, not just go #4 and #5, and #1 and #2, so those two first because

those aren't the ones that are the most problematic. The one that's the most problematic is #3, which is #3 either way you look at it.

Ms. Duque: Well, yes, #3 is the same #3 in all of them, but #3 is problematic also because of the guardrail that is around the lake, that's like the main issue.

Mr. Camacho: So, just to add, if you remember Landshore's analysis, lake #3 was just being on there.

Ms. Armstrong: No, lake #3 with all the bulkheads around it?

Mr. Camacho: Yes, the bulkheads are the issue.

Ms. Wald: Why don't you go to the bulkheads, let's go to the bulkheads and then we can come back to this.

Ms. Duque: Yes, and that's the thing Linda we are talking right now about the erosion problem itself with Landshore, the bulkheads are another story, so we'll discuss.

Ms. Wald: So, let's talk about bulkheads.

Ms. West: Well, you can't walk behind those houses over there, it's like this, so how does #1 and #2 come up before that?

Ms. Duque: Well, I'm not the engineer.

Ms. West: Did you walk behind those houses?

Mr. Camacho: A while ago I did.

(At this point several people were talking at one time, and no one conversation could be heard)

Ms. Armstrong: But you're talking about lake #3, with the bulkheads.

Ms. DiComo0: No, the ones on the ends.

Mr. Barak: No, lake #3 is by the pool, behind the pool, right?

Mr. Camacho: Yes.

Mr. Barak: So, that's lake #3, so that's closer to your house where your dumpsters are, that's lake #3, and she's talking about #4.

Ms. Armstrong: This one right here, we're looking at it.

Ms. DiComo: No, that's #5.

Mr. Barak: We're talking about #4, the one across from me.

Ms. Armstrong: Ok.

Ms. Duque: So, Linda, we're talking about this one, this lake.

Ms. Armstrong: Ok, alright.

Mr. Barak: Right.

Ms. Armstrong: Ok, got it.

Ms. Wald: So, let me read from their original report from Lakeshore Enterprises so we're all on the same page. Lake #3, which stays the same, the lake located at the center of the community, while reviewing the surface and the underwater conditions of the above and below slopes, it was determined the lake does not require any means of shoreline protection. The existing bulkhead along the offset of the shoreline is considered stable and no immediate action is needed. It is recommended that the lake is monitored over the next few years for any changes in the condition of the shoreline. So, we're ok with #3, and I just want everyone to be on the same page because we're all saying different numbers.

Ms. Armstrong: Ok, so in that case, we're alright for #3, then I must be talking about the other ones.

Ms. Wald: Lake #1 and #2 are lake #4 and #5, so their lake #1, and I'm just going to read it, located on the western side of the community it has two segments of the shoreline where the bulkhead was used as a method of slope stabilization, the bulkhead is still in good condition other than the main span board, it is recommended that the top board is replaced with a new one, or it could be fastened down, do your remember this? Several areas around the bulkhead sections have docks, have water around them, and that has eroded and destroyed the sod. The outfalls within the lake all appear to be open and free from blockages, along with proper support around the pipes. The perimeter of the lake and the span across, overall the slopes of the lake are steeper than ideal, 3.2 to 3.5 to 1, not horrible. For the lakes with the residential access of 4.1 is ideal, so it's not too far off. The embankment drop from the top of the escarpment to the underwater shelf is consistent around the lake with a measured drop of 1.5 to 2 feet. The lake depth averages 7 to 8 feet where the deepest part of the lake is found in the upper section. The soil sample consists of poorly graded sand, which everybody has.

Ms. DiComo: Which lake are you talking about?

Ms. Wald: This is lake #1, so this is their lake #1 which is lake #4.

Mr. Barak: I think they said the western side.

Ms. DiComo: Ok, the western side.

Mr. Barak: So, they're talking about this lake right here.

Ms. Duque: Yes, the one here.

Ms. Wald: So, this one is actually pretty good, they did the small repairs with the fastening down a couple of months ago. Lake #2, which is lake #5, is located on the western side of the community, it has two segments in the north and south lake where the slope is stabilized with a bulkhead. The bulkhead requires minor cosmetic repair, it is recommended that the top board be replaced with a new board and fastened, similar to #1 and #2 which are #4 and #5, the docks, etc. The outfalls pipes are open, they're flowing, all good. The perimeter measured at 1.154 linear feet span across, and the average slope at the water's edge is 3.2 to 3.5 to 1, similar to the prior one. The embankment appears on the lake to drop 1 to 1.5 to 2 feet, the same way, the lake depth is a little shallower, at 5 to 6 feet, but the deepest part of the lake and the southern portion facing the bulkhead is approximately 6.9 to 7 to 8 feet deep, the soil same was the same. So, that's why the recommendation was for the two lakes in the front for stabilization of the shoreline to monitor these here, that I just read to you because they're almost within the slope, that's what the findings were from the original report.

Ms. Duque: But I want to remind the Board of Supervisors, that we have Landshore doing everything related to the erosion and the lake bank itself. We hired RDC for the bulkheads, we have a different report with RDC for the bulkheads, so even though Landshore mentions certain things about the bulkheads, that was not the primary attention, they did it because they did the inspection and they provided comments here and there, and this was discussed by the District engineer also back at that time. That's why we have RDC's report which was presented to the Board in January, so Angel let's talk now about the bulkheads.

Mr. Camacho: Ok.

Ms. DiComo: I'm sorry, I just want to make sure, so nothing is going to be done to lake #4?

Mr. Camacho: Lake #3.

Mr. Barak: Lake #3.

Mr. Camacho: As long as the shoreline is stabilized, not the bulkheads.

Ms. DiComo: But what about the shoreline, down that end, the shoreline literally goes like this.

Mr. Barak: But that's not lake #3.

Mr. Camacho: You mean lake #4.

Mr. Barak: Yes, lake #4.

Ms. DiComo: Listen, these numbers are getting me confused.

Mr. Barak: Well, lake #3 remains lake #3 regardless of which numbers you use.

Ms. Armstrong: No, it doesn't, I lied because lake #3 is the one with the fence.

Ms. Duque: Correct.

Mr. Barak: Doesn't that stay the same?

Ms. Armstrong: Ok, if it does, it does.

Ms. DiComo: But that's not the lake I'm talking about, I'm talking about #4.

Mr. Barak: Right.

Ms. Duque: It doesn't matter, this is the lake Madeline he's talking about, so Angel go ahead.

Mr. Camacho: Yes, and there's proposed remediation for that lake.

Ms. DiComo: There is?

Mr. Barak: Yes.

Mr. Camacho: It's just the two lakes at the entrance, which are the 2 to 5 to 1 slope as opposed to the 3 to 1.

Ms. Duque: Correct.

Ms. DiComo: So, when would that be taken care of?

Ms. Duque: That's where we're going now Madeline, we're trying to see now what the due diligence is for; the two first lakes are the ones that need kind of the immediate attention, so those will be the two that we're going to start with the project, but it doesn't mean that other lakes need certain other needs.

Ms. DiComo: What I don't understand is, if I go over there, and I look, ok the one near the hotel you worry about, but the one by the houses doesn't seem like that shore is that bad.

Mr. Barak: No, it's not one of the worse ones, isn't that where people said the water was always coming up?

Ms. Duque: Yes, that's actually lake #1, the entrance on the left side, when you enter the community, the one on the left side, remember the water was reaching almost to the backyard of the homes.

Mr. Barak: Right.

Ms. Duque: And it happened that during that time Landshore and RDC, they were doing an inspection, we had heavy rain and you might recall that too.

Ms. DiComo: Well, it makes sense because the slope isn't high, the slope looks more gradual so what will go up faster, whereas the one I'm talking about is like this, so the water doesn't go up as fast.

Mr. Barak: Well, I think that's why they were saying, that's what Juliana was just saying that it's actually consistent, or I'm sorry, when you were reading the report.

Ms. Duque: Yes, that's also in the report.

Mr. Barak: So, it's not far off from the ideal.

Ms. Duque: Correct, yes, that's true. Ok, go ahead Angel.

Mr. Camacho: So, we found out that there's about 3 companies in South Florida that deal with bulkhead repairs. We contacted all 3 and only 1 came back with a proposal in which we walked the site, which is Absolute Pro Construction. Now, when I was on site we mentioned what's provided here in RDC's report, where it just mentions fixing the deterioration of the wooden piles. They mentioned that's something they can't warranty or guarantee because it's technically a band-aid, so out of all the piles in the first two lakes at the entrance, we noticed either stress cracks on each pile, or them being deteriorated throughout. Now RDC's proposal mentions that there is a considerable amount of piles that have rot, and deterioration. So, for Absolute to I guess warranty the job they're proposing to replace all the wooden piles, as well as all the top planks to be pretreated to help prevent decay. Now if you notice that Juliana mentioned, when we had a rain event, even for lake #3, the water came all the way to the top, almost to the bottom of the guardrail, so if it's exposed to water that's where they found most of, or a lot of the deterioration or the rotting within those wooden piles. So, Absolute Pro came back with a proposal to replace all the wood piles, from all the lakes, as well as all the top planks, and also to reset the tiebacks, as also mentioned in RCD's report. They came back with a proposal for $210,695.

Ms. Armstrong: So, this is all the lakes?

Mr. Camacho: Yes, all the lakes.

Ms. DiComo: Well, they're saying to put in new pilings on every lake?

Mr. Camacho: Correct.

Ms. DiComo: But you're not going to be working on those lakes until I don't know when, won't that interfere with the new pilings and then they come in with big machinery to regrade?

Mr. Camacho: So, the idea would be for the bulkhead repairs to happen before the shoreline restoration.

Ms. DiComo: And that's the best way to do it?

Mr. Camacho: Correct, because as you mentioned if Landshore was to come and restore the shorelines and then the machines that you mentioned will destroy what was underlining whatever they did install. So, bulkhead repairs would be the first item and then the shoreline restoration would be the second.

Ms. Duque: And that's something that I remember Juan and Angel mentioned a couple of meetings ago, they said also that if you were to paint the wood, if you want to do a collar on the wood, they recommend you work on the bulkheads, you repair, wait until Landshore finishes all of their work and we'll come back and paint the wood if that's something the Board would like to do, but they did mention that a couple of meetings ago as well.

Mr. Barak: So, this is not included in the previous amounts that we agreed to in the last one.

Ms. Duque: No, it is Benny because what we have encountered more or less was like close to $450,000, but what we have seen now is like the worst lakes, with Landshore it's about $107,000 for both of them, so we're in the $300,000 and we'll still have $135,000 more that we are increasing on the assessment. So, I think as far as the numbers go and the need of repairs, once again, taking into consideration that the numbers don't go up with inflation, we're going to be pretty much there.

Ms. Armstrong: Well, with such a disparity between Landshore's comments about minor repairs, and complete replacements, I'm not comfortable just going with AP, I think we need to get those other two to come in and evaluate the situation too because they're in it to make money, so Landshore wasn't.

Mr. Camacho: So, those other two companies, I mentioned, they showed no interest in this project.

Mr. Barak: Like they didn't respond, or they're like, we're not interested.

Mr. Camacho: Yes, because it's a smaller project for them, they deal with bulkheads like at the intercoastal, like an ocean setup.

Ms. Armstrong: So, in all of Florida there's nobody but this one company?

Mr. Camacho: Well, there's about 3 companies in South Florida that deal with bulkhead repairs, all the other ones are either on the West Coast or Northern Florida.

Ms. Duque: And the ones that are not in South Florida are going to be more cost because of the transportation. Let me ask you something Angel, because the point that Linda is making if you read the reports, there are certain things that they said, it's in fair condition, or there is no need for immediate attention, but that doesn't necessarily mean that at some point you have to do it, right?

Mr. Camacho: So, to go off what Linda mentioned, Landshore prices specialize in shoreline restoration, they simply saw the bulkhead without any physical inspection and made a determination, and that's what is on the report. So, it's important to emphasize that, their expertise is only on shoreline restoration, not bulkhead repairs. Now, this company deals with bulkhead repairs all the time, it's something that they specialize in and construction, and RDC's report supplements the assessment.

Ms. Duque: Now, let me ask you something Angel, my concern, let's say if I were a resident of this community, and not having experience with bulkhead repairs, and not being an engineer, but the water level is my concern. When I saw the pictures that were provided to me back at that time, and I saw that as well back at that time when we had the rain, the water level was pretty high. So, wood and water, they don't go well together, and if we're having this issue every single year, or you have been having this issue for 17 or 18 years, my concern is also what's behind that because they did the inspection, RDC, but they did mention on the report, and I think I highlighted it somewhere. When it comes to the vertical posts, they did mention, that it was observed that a considerable amount of the posts show signs of deterioration in different degrees and the top and the end of the posts. So, if that's what they are seeing, we don't know what's behind it, we don't know what's behind the wood itself, so that would be my concern as a resident, am I correct?

Mr. Camacho: So RDC mentions, you have the piles and you have the top planks, so, a lot of top planks you'll see that they're distressed, they're cut, the water comes to the top, whoever installed these bulkheads, and I'm not sure if they pretreated the wood,

or did anything to help seal it for waterproofing purposes. Like I mentioned, Absolute Pro, they specifically mention that, and that's why they proposed this as a complete solution as opposed to just putting a band-aid on each one. Now, this proposal was given to you back in May, so I can reach out to the other contractors, maybe now they're interested, or maybe they cleared their work enough to do it, or we could go back to Absolute Pro and say, and see what other options they could provide to us.

Ms. Armstrong: Could companies that restore the intercoastal, that's kind of basically the same procedures, correct?

Mr. Camacho: Yes, correct.

Ms. Armstrong: And there's not a small company that would be interested in a $100,000 job nowadays?

Mr. Camacho: It's hard.

Ms. Armstrong: Well, $200,000 to me, replace everything, yes then we're starting out from square one again, and maybe we've got 25 years that we can do that but, we were talking about doing it piecemeal, like doing only the two lakes that are bad this time, and then we decided, do it all at once, but why do you want to throw away good money, if there are lakes that don't have the deterioration, and for somebody just to come out and say, oh it all has to be done knowing that they're the only job in town, I'm just skeptical, I'm sorry.

Ms. Wald: Let me ask a question, when you had the proposal that was provided to you for all of them, was that based upon the recommendation from RDC?

Ms. Duque: Yes.

Mr. Camacho: So, we came onsite, we went to all the lakes, and most of, if not all the piles had rotten, deterioration, cracks, all through.

Mr. Barak: It was built at the same time, and it's getting the same explosion, it's not like one is going to be too different from the other.

Ms. Wald: So, it's not Absolute Pro that said, we want to do them all, that was provided to them and they said this is what our cost would be.

Mr. Camacho: Right, and then they came here onsite, we checked all the bulkheads and most, if not all of them, we found and he showed me deterioration and rotting, they can't just do spot repairs and warranty the work. So, we counted every single bulkhead and top blank.

Mr. Barak: How long is the warranty?

Mr. Camacho: I'm not sure if it mentions it here, I'd have to go back and look.

Ms. Wald: It might be in here. Well, how long are these things supposed to last because these are 20 years?

Mr. Barak: Right, well the community has been around for almost 20 years.

Ms. Wald: Yes.

Mr. Camacho: I mean if we go through a degree of what needs to be done, I would say lake #3 has a priority, only because it's fully exposed, the whole bulkhead is exposed. Lakes #4 and #5, not as much because they're on the north and south ends. I also noticed on lake #1 when it rains it also reaches the bulkhead as well, specifically lakes #1 and #3.

Ms. Armstrong: And then it would be sensible to expect to have new fencing put up too because if they're tearing down everything, the fence is on top of the bulkhead.

(At this point several people were talking at one time, and no one conversation could be heard)

Ms. Wald: Hold on, it's so small, seawall and repairs of other kinds as stated on the contract is one 1 year, well one is 10 years and one is 1 year, I don't know which is which, but 10 years would be a good warranty if we can get 10 years. Question, from other ones that I've written, as to budget, what do we anticipate for the budget with the increase, the band-aid, because looking at this, we're looking at, even with some increases of prices and what we're going to have to pay for the soft costs, we're looking at about $245,000 or $250,000, and what did we say?

Ms. Duque: $435,000.

Ms. Wald: So, you have more money to do it all now when it comes in.

Ms. Armstrong: So, until, what happens once you get into something?

Ms. Wald: Well, its' $328,000, and let's just say you're at $320,000, and you thought it was going to be around $450,000, and you're not at $450,000.

Mr. Barak: But weren't we going to do all of them?

Ms. Wald: No, because when you got the report in, it showed that you just needed to monitor the other lakes because it wasn't the slope that was causing the issues as to the other lakes, it was the bulkheads.

Ms. Duque: And we're talking about the docks.

Ms. Wald: The docks, the water valves, and I think we talked about that, so what you estimated and what you did as part of your forecasting for your budget is an excess, and also gives you the money and the buffer when down the road you have to do certain work for the other three lakes, and then you save that money, that's in a reserve.

Ms. Duque: Because we don't have reserves, remember that.

Ms. Wald: Right, so now you'll have that money in reserves, you won't have to do that big increase hopefully again.

Ms. Duque: And remember, the intention from the Board, and because I know there are concerns from the residents, it was to give a one-time increase now, and then you hopefully go back to the same amount that you were paying for your taxes and you will lower that amount again, and you can correct me if I'm wrong, but that's what I understood from the Board. So, Ginger's statement is correct, right now the money that was increased seems that it was appropriate at least if we have to do that work, or move forward with another lake, or some other job that we might need to do, we could do it.

Ms. Wald: You'll have that money. So, the other thing too is, talking about starting a project in January, which I was talking about before we moved to the bulkheads, is we can start, because we're under the threshold for bidding, which is great, so we don't have to go through that 60 days, we can start the process now, whether it's with one of these vendors or with another vendor. I know you're comfortable with Landshore but, as we were talking about Absolute Pro, if you approve an amount not to exceed with also the understanding that the start date, the notice to proceed in an agreement isn't going to be until the beginning of next year, you could proceed forward with entering into a contract now, and when I say now, I'm talking about next month, or October, whenever you wanted to. So, then you can, with whatever company you go with, get those prices.

Mr. Barak: And lock them in.

Ms. Wald: Right, lock them in, and they'll do it, and lock them in.

Ms. DiComo: Aren't they going to be twice as high as they are now?

Mr. Barak: No, that's what we're talking about now.

Ms. Wald: That's what we're talking about, once you have that agreement in place, now you've locked in those prices, understanding that the notice to proceed is going to be further out, so you're not entering into an agreement. Let's say we want to

move forward and we entered into an agreement next month, which is right around the corner before you know it, but if you had in there the timeline as to when to proceed, that's going to be after your funding is going to be in, then you're ok, as long as they agree to lock in the price, that's why you negotiate, and then you would negotiate it with each one of the vendors and that's why we would write the contract that way if they go for it. I'm not saying they will, I'm just saying it's a possibility. Knowing Landshore right now, I know they're doing a bunch of projects because they're doing it for my CDDs, and so I know with them, they're not going to be able to get out there anyway, the earliest would be the end of the year, so that's kind of what you're looking at.

Ms. Duque: Also, I would like to remind all of you that this is the price for the project, there are other fees involved in this project. The professional fees, the District manager, from our office was inspecting the job, who is going to be working as the project manager for and coming to meet with the District engineers, or other engineers for other firms, the work being done by the District engineer, the work being done by the attorney, so there are other fees, and I want to make sure everyone is on the same page.

Mr. Barak: How much would that be, is there a percentage?

Ms. Wald: I just have to prepare two agreements, and there are some permitting fees. What's the permitting fee for bulkheads because I haven't dealt with one of those yet?

Mr. Camacho: So, and this is actually the first time we've, in a long time, I think Juan mentioned that we had, I'm not sure how this, I mean I think this is the City of Dania Beach, and we haven't worked with Dania Beach before.

Ms. Wald: Right, so we're going to have to find out what that permitting fee would be, and it might not even be them, it might be the county.

Mr. Camacho: Correct, so I'll reach out to the agencies and see and tell them this is a maintenance repair project to see even if a permit is required, but being that it's close to a body of water we'll have to contact South Florida Water Management, but they do offer exemptions if it's a maintenance or repair project.

Ms. Wald: I just don't know on the bulkheads, I do, and looking as to Landshore because we did them over in Pembroke Pines not too long ago but, as to the bulkheads I have no idea as to Broward County, so we would have to look into that.

Mr. Camacho: Yes, I'll reach out to South Florida Water Management and to the city or the county and see.

Ms. Wald: You're looking at the cost that Juliana was saying, the cost for me just to prepare the two agreements, so I'm going to say it's negligible because it's not a lot of money. The costs that you're looking at for soft costs are really going to be your inspection costs, making sure things are happening, handling what's going on, and your engineer will give an estimate because Juan and Angel actually just did, gave an estimate for another CDD that I have entered into Miami. So you will get an estimate from him, I'm just going to throw in, it's usually a percentage of what's being done, so you're probably looking at $25,000 range, and I'm just saying range, for that work, for those soft costs for the job being done because you already have from Landshore, they've already got a plan, so you've already paid for that, and so for whether it's Absolute Pro, or somebody else, specifically the engineer will work with whoever the vendor is as to those plans because whoever that has to be submitted to for the approval of the permits and then that will have to be done, and so you're probably within that $25,000, $30,000 range so you still have the money that you budgeted for the job.

Mr. Barak: Does that get counted towards the threshold amount that you were talking about?

Ms. Wald: No, that is separate.

Mr. Barak: Ok. What is the threshold amount?

Ms. Wald: So, the threshold amount all depends upon the work that's being done. So, depending upon the work that's being done there are different amounts, there's the original one which is $195,000, and then you go up to $300,000, and then the $300,000 there's a calculated amount based upon future and present value, and that comes out of the engineering, something, , books that they have. Juan calculated it for this District before you did the budget, and it was $416,000 and change, so that's for if you're doing the whole thing all at once. So, that's your threshold amount for this, so you're fine, and you're doing two different ones anyway, two different types of jobs.

Mr. Barak: Oh, that's per job.

Ms. Wald: Right, you're well within the threshold amount which is at $300,000, so you don't have to worry about that.

Mr. Barak: Ok.

Ms. Wald: So, those are the things we have to look at because we didn't know until you got the report, so either way you're fine, you do not have to do competitive bidding, is what I was saying before, if it's something that you're looking at doing, obviously you want to do it. You have, and it's already been told by your District engineer, your bulkheads, do that first, it really needs to be done, and then if proceeding forward with going ahead and fixing the lakes and the slopes, so that's what you're paying for, and you don't have to make any decisions today either.

Ms. Armstrong: One more quick question Mr. Camacho, lakes #1 and #2, both have the fountains, and none of the other lakes do, could that be one of the reasons why they're in more need of aeration, do the fountains have anything to do with it? The second question is, when you're doing all of this tubing, it won't affect the fountains will it? I mean do the fountains have to be removed and then put back in?

Mr. Camacho: No, the tubes allow Landshore to work around it, similar if there are any roots or fences that are existing in place. There are other erosion control devices that they could use that they would use, and tubes they could work around it.

Ms. Armstrong: Because at one point we were considering putting fountains in the other lakes for aeration because we were getting the algae, but do you think that just circulation causes more erosion or not?

Mr. Camacho: No, it doesn't, you're referring to the algae bloom, and the erosion.

Ms. Duque: The fountains.

Mr. Camacho: Right, so no the erosion is caused by wind activity and rain.

Ms. Armstrong: Ok, alright, I'm done.

Ms. Duque: Thank you, Angel.

Mr. Camacho: I just wanted to add something.

Ms. Duque: Yes.

Mr. Camacho: This Absolute Pro proposal or estimate was submitted to me in May, and it's already out of its 60 days, so I still have to reach back out to them and ask them to include the warranty information on here, make sure this proposal is still valid, at the same time I'm going to reach out to the other two companies to see now if they're interested because it's been 3 months so maybe they finished up a job and now they're open, just to have at least maybe one other proposal for the next meeting.

Mr. Barak: Right.

Ms. Armstrong: And you could tell them in January is the start time.

Mr. Camacho: Yes.

Ms. Armstrong: Thank you.

Ms. DiComo: So, to recap, we're only doing bulkheads, and we're waiting for lakes #1 and #2, and then we're going to add dirt going up the hill so it goes up like that?

Mr. Camacho: So, those were graded to a slope of 4 to 1, so depending on the slope on lakes #1 and #2, I think the requirements are different.

Ms. DiComo: Which you'll add to the slope.

Mr. Camacho: You would have to add it to this slope, but the slope is from the property line, it's only in CDD properties, so it's from the property line to the edge of the water.

Ms. Duque: Exactly, we're not working on the condo association property, or private property, it's CDD property.

Mr. Camacho: Right, correct.

Ms. DiComo: Ok, and I'm going to tell you right now you're going to have a lot of complaints, I've had calls since this came out about increasing the taxes, and I'm telling them all it's going to be better, they're going to be fixing it, and they're not.

Ms. Duque: So then, to wrap up, what are we doing? What's going to be the next step? Is the Board willing to enter into an agreement at least for Landshore now because we already heard from Angel, he's going to reach out to Absolute Pro and see if they are planning to give us the same estimate or if it's going to change, and the warranty, and all those details that the Board requested, and reach out to another company, but what's going to be the direction?

Ms. Armstrong: I'm comfortable with Landshore, I could approve that right now and then they can put us on the books as soon as possible in 2023.

Ms. Wald: In January.

Ms. Duque: But Landshore, remember the bulkheads.

Mr. Barak: Exactly.

Ms. Duque: Angel, Landshore is aware right, that we are working on the bulkhead first and then moving forward to the other lakes.

Mr. Camacho: They suggested it as well.

Ms. Duque: Correct.

Ms. Armstrong: Ok.

Mr. Barak: So, it is dependent on this before we can really move forward with that?

Ms. Duque: Yes.

Mr. Camacho: So, just to add, the thing with Landshore is, again, we work with them in other communities, that they'll honor their prices, and if there's an agreement in place now, they'll have no problem starting in January, and they'll even at their own cost procure the materials now to keep the cost down.

Ms. Duque: Correct, and keep it so that when we start, we will have it.

Mr. Camacho: Exactly.

Ms. Wald: Do they have anybody up, aren't they also in Fort Pierce, St. Lucie County?

Mr. Camacho: Yes.

Ms. Wald: I wonder if they have anybody up that way in Stuart, even though it's just a little further above us.

Mr. Camacho: They work all the up into Georgia.

Ms. Wald: Right, but maybe they know somebody for, and I'm just pre-thinking for bulkheads up that way because I know we've got a lot, but I know I have issues up in St. Lucie County with some of the lakes and the bulkheads from the city's standpoint, and so maybe Landshore may have someone.

Mr. Camacho: So, I actually reached out to them first to see if they had anyone, and they didn't.

Ms. Wald: But I'll ask the City of Port St. Lucie because, a special counsel there, but I don't know if any of those are going to work because they're huge jobs.

Mr. Camacho: Right, and if not, we'll get some from the South Florida area, or I could go north absolutely, it's just again, it's going to be more costs because they're further away.

Ms. Wald: It might be worth it, just to get the quotes. So, you don't have to do anything today, I just want to kind of throw it out there to see, that we do have a meeting September something, and so we can always wait until then. I mean, it's not like you can move forward anyway without money but, it's up to the Board. Different options for the Board, and it's whether you want to meet, not meet, those things too.

Mr. Barak: Well, yes, I mean I think at this point it's worth it to see if anybody else may come in or if they'll even honor the same price or whatever the case is.

Ms. Armstrong: Well, to me, if it's easier for you to do a contract now with Landshore let's vote or whatever to approve that, and then just point a pin in this one until we see if we can get one competitive price because just going in with one, I think is like crazy.

Ms. Wald: How about you do this, and again, it's an option, I'm not saying necessarily do it, we'll do it piecemeal, so we'll do a motion to approve the proposals as revised with Landshore Enterprises, LLC with the authorization that the proper District officials enter into an agreement, and we'll work out an agreement with Landshore Enterprises, you and I, with a commencement date following the bulkhead work.

Mr. Barak: I like that.

Ms. Wald: And you can do that as a motion, I would be comfortable with that.

Ms. Armstrong: I motion to move forward with that.

> On MOTION by Ms. Armstrong seconded by Mr. Barak with all in favor, accepting the proposal with Landshore Enterprises, LLC and authorizing the proper District officials to enter into an agreement with a commencement date following the bulkhead work was approved.

Ms. Vernon: Can I also just add something?

Ms. Wald: Sure, go ahead.

Ms. Vernon: Can I just add that I would say that, the only way that I would only like suggest that there's only one quote with Landshore is if there's only, if they can only agree to lock in that price that we were originally quoted, and not have any other quotes. So, if they agree not to go up, and they lock us in at the original price, then maybe for next year I would say it's better than them raising the prices or double the price or whatever if they have new prices, then obviously we should shop around.

Ms. Wald: No, we agree, and that's why Nicole, the Board just did the motion now, so I can prepare the agreement and we can get them locked in.

Ms. Duque: Yes, because Nicole, they actually provided us with the first proposal back in April, so the proposal was given to us on April 15th, and the District engineer,

Angel and Juan Al they reached out to them again, and asked for the proposals and they actually told them they would keep the price, so they have the price and that's why it didn't change.

Ms. Vernon: Ok.

Ms. Armstrong: And we need the second motion.

Ms. Wald: Ok, so the second one would be, so here's a possibility. So, you could go ahead and you could approve, not with Absolute Pro, but you could approve an amount not to exceed "X", whatever "X" wants to be, and provide either one of yourselves, or just the District manager, or in conjunction with the District manager, the District engineer, the engagement of a contractor to provide the services that you saw that are in this proposal, and I'll read the actual motion after we're done talking, to perform that bulkhead work. So, if you guys want to discuss that, you can discuss that, and then you don't have to wait for another meeting and have all those items, as long as it's apples to apples, and have either multiple proposals, or maybe you're still stuck with one proposal, but again, it's totally up to the Board, or you can wait and do that.

Ms. Duque: You can wait and see if Angel provides us with other proposals, if they change the amount or if they kept the amount, it's up to you. I think that we still need to meet again in September because with just this amount and the proposal that we have, there are still a couple of questions the Board has in terms of the warranty for example, and Angel can switch now to other vendors, and see competitive proposals, if possible because they already tried that. I don't know, it's up to the Board.

Ms. Wald: It's up to the Board, and either way works because, well let's say, and if you do a motion, and I'll just read the motion out, it would be a motion to authorize the District manager to engage with the coordination of the District engineer to engage the services of a contractor for the project known as the bulkhead repair/replacement project in an amount not to exceed $212,000, that would be something you could do if you wanted to do now, that type of motion, and then they don't have to do it, if they don't feel comfortable they can always come back to the next meeting and say, hey look, this is what we found.

Ms. Armstrong: But we are in agreement that we're total replacing all the bulkheads, and all of the tops, and the connecting boards and everything, it's going to be a fresh slate, replacing, not band-aiding.

Mr. Camacho: When you say connecting boards, it's all the top planks and the piles, the board themselves have held already in place, there's geogrid, there's tiebacks, those aren't going to be removed.

Ms. Duque: Correct.

Ms. Armstrong: But they're fine.

Mr. Camacho: There's like one or two that have roots going in that they would replace, but not completely.

Ms. Armstrong: Yes.

Ms. Duque: So, yes it's not going to be the entire wood, it's just what needs to be replaced.

Ms. Armstrong: Yes.

Ms. Wald: So, that's something you could do today, and again, if they don't get them, they don't like them, they'll come back to the Board, they don't actually have to enter into the agreement.

Ms. Armstrong: Ok, but at least then we've got our price locked it.

Ms. Wald: Yes.

Ms. Armstrong: Ok, I agree with that.

Ms. DiComo: I agree with that.

Ms. Duque: Perfect, so Linda just motioned to authorize the motion as stated by Ginger.

Ms. Wald: To engage the services of a contractor to perform the bulkhead repair/replacement project as identified by the District engineer, in an amount not to exceed $212,000.

Ms. DiComo: Ok.

Ms. Wald: So, that was the motion that Linda is making.

Ms. Duque: And authorizing District staff to work with the District engineer and make sure we have everything, perfect.

On MOTION by Ms. Armstrong seconded by Ms. West with all in favor, authoring District staff to engage a contractor to

> perform the services for the bulkhead repair/replacement project as identified by the District Engineer in an amount not to exceed $212,000 was approved.

Ms. Duque: Ok, so I think we're done and set with the bulkhead and the lake's restoration. Nicole, do you have any other questions or comments before we continue with the agenda?

Ms. Vernon: No thank you.

Ms. Duque: Thank you so much, Nicole.

Mr. Barak: And maybe this is kind of stupid but, I was just reading this, so we're doing this as far as like the Landshore project, and this is to mitigate flooding risk, is that why?

Ms. Wald: The Landshore?

Mr. Barak: Yes.

Ms. Wald: Yes, the Landshore is, you have certain requirements, your requirements are to have the correct slope, and for this, it's 4 to 1, right?

Mr. Camacho: So, the ideal for residential is actually 4 to 1, if it's an existing condition, certain counties, they do it 3 to 1.

Mr. Barak: Right, and I'm just curious because I'm reading here about this for the grant program, so could we possibly do that?

Ms. Wald: You can always go ahead and apply for anything you want, and try to get it, you can try. There are grants out there for almost everything you could possibly imagine, there are also a lot of entities that apply for those grants, but that doesn't mean you shouldn't go for it.

Mr. Barak: Ok.

Ms. Wald: But we could get the information and we can present it and maybe, you never know.

Mr. Barak: It's a shot in the dark.

Ms. Wald: It doesn't hurt.

(At this point several people were talking at one time, and no one conversation could be heard)

Ms. Wald: Because I have another District that I'm actually meeting on Tuesday, and they connect up to one of the C-canals in Pembroke Pines in Cooper City, and they may or may not be able to apply for that.

Ms. Duque: Yes, but it's clear, I believe, and you can correct me if I'm wrong, but it says the bill authorizes a Special District that is responsible for the management and maintenance of inlets and intercoastal waterways.

Ms. Wald: Right, and we actually have that in another one, so they're probably going to apply, but anyway, this is good, you gave your two motions, so your staff can start working on that, and once they get me something, we can put those agreements together.

Ms. Duque: Ok.

C. Field - Waterway Management Report with Advanced Aquatic

Ms. Duque: Let's move forward then to field manager report. Under the field, the only thing that I have attached is the waterway management report with Advanced Aquatic. Once again, I will remind the Board of Supervisors, GMS is not doing the field work, the field work is being done by your condo association, and I have not received any other comments or items to be included on the agenda. I included this because I received it, so that's pretty much it. This states that the service for the lake are being provided, and maintained as per the contract.

D. Manager

1) Update on Drainage System Clean Project
2) Condo Association Manager/CDD Field Services
3) Discussion of Financial Disclosure Report from the Commission on Ethics and Reminder to File Annual Form – ***everyone has filed***

Ms. Duque: Let's move forward to the manager's report. Under the manager's report, I would like to give some updates on the drainage system clean project, so the project was completed. I have attached some of the pictures for you to review and see, you can see though we did it last year there is a lot of material that was actually removed from the drainage system, so I'm happy that we're on top of it and we're doing it every year. As you notice the amount compared to the prior project was less, that helped us, we saved money, and then at some point we can start moving that money towards the reserve fund for the District. The next item that we have is condo association

manager/CDD field services. So, once again I would like to inform the Board of Supervisors that I've been on top of the management company for your condo because I wanted to make sure that the services in terms of the field are being provided. Once again, it's not GMS' responsibility, nevertheless, as the District manager, I would like to make sure that the services are provided. It took quite some time from July 14th to August 1st to receive the response from Gladyelit, who is the regional manager, it's my understanding that Vanessa is your new property manager, and she will be onsite every day as per the email starting August 15th, so Vanessa is going to be here on August 15th. I mentioned to the Board of Supervisors as well we had a couple of issues getting approval of invoices, the accounting office reached out to the management company for the condo association, and we finally got those approvals but once again, for your information.

Ms. West: So, talking about invoices, did you follow up on the one I asked about?

Ms. Duque: So, yes, I'm waiting, and I don't see anything in terms of what was transferred over to us from Inframark and Terry, maybe you would like to express to the Board your concern before I explain.

Ms. West: Well, I was concerned about the ficus hedge when I saw them cutting some things on my side, because I see everything over there, and when I talked to Mike, he said that's what they do, they trim, they do this and do that, but I had also mentioned to him about, I wanted to see some invoices, once we tore out the ficus on the south side, because they were not supposed to be taken down all at once, and he said to me, that when they put the new ones up, we didn't pay for some of the east sides of it, from my south side, from where my dumpster is, that's where it was supposed to stop and it didn't.

Mr. Barak: Correct.

Ms. West: He said, when they tore it out, they put those up, and we didn't have to pay.

Ms. Armstrong: That's not true, we negotiated a deal but we didn't pay for the taking out and the disposal and everything, but we said it was only fair that we paid for the plants.

Mr. Barak: Yes right.

Ms. West: Ok.

Ms. Armstrong: But he gave us a time because we said we didn't have money in this budget.

Ms. Duque: Now, for the record GMS was not the management company when that happened at that time. So, Terry called me and we talked about this issue and others that I'm not aware of, I was not the District manager at that time, I'm going to have to go and look. I haven't been able to find any of that record in terms of what Inframark passed on to us. The only thing that I have, and you might recall when GMS took over, and I requested to all of the vendors, I need you to send me open invoices, I need to see what's open, what hasn't been paid, and I found one invoice that you guys didn't pay, supposedly because you didn't have the money and you were waiting for the next fiscal year to make that payment, you might recall that, it was like about $5,000 or something like that, and I said no way, the work was already done, that's an outstanding invoice and we made the payment. So, as far as credits I haven't seen any credit and I think you got the answer from Linda already.

Ms. West: Right, so there was another question because I had come home one day and I saw them putting in some more plants, over there by me, that they had already put in new plants, when I had asked Mike about it, he said they didn't, so that's when I called and didn't put any add ins after they tore it out, they put the plants in. Then about a month or so later, they came back and put some fill in plants in between and I asked him, how come you didn't put some fill-in by me because that section down there is looking good, and mine looks bad. He said we did, that's when I called you because I'm saying, I don't know where and I wanted to see an invoice if there was one.

Mr. Barak: Oh, to see if we got charged still, even though they didn't put it there.

Ms. West: Right, yes, he said that they didn't come back and fill in, but I said, are you sure because I saw them put some in, and he said, no, so I wanted to clarify that, if they did and we didn't get charged, that's fine and dandy.

Ms. Duque: So, once again, I haven't seen anything in terms of additional, and I don't have all of the backup information because we were not the management company at that time.

Ms. Wald: If you don't have the invoices, you're not going to be able to figure it out.

Ms. West: I know, we're not.

Ms. Duque: Yes, and so I told Terry, I could ask them to provide me with anything but if they say no, they're not going to provide me with anything.

Ms. West: No, they're not, so it's sort of like forget about it.

Ms. Duque: I think we can talk to Vanessa, the new property manager perhaps at the next meeting and we'll make sure that she understands a couple of issues that you have had with this particular vendor so that she can address them moving forward.

(At this point several people were talking at one time, and no one conversation could be heard)

Ms. Duque: We don't pay invoices until they are approved and verified by your management company of the condo association. Number two, since we found the $18,500 cost of that wall, I asked the property manager at that time, the regional director, that we were not moving forward with any additional work. I was very clear with the management company of the condo association, and I said, the only work that can be performed at this moment is as per the contract. If there is additional work that needs to be done, unless it's an emergency, you need to present that to the Board of Supervisors. If it's an emergency, they should reach out to me as well, and let me know and we will work that out, but they know, it's in an email.

Ms. West: So, the $18,000 that we spent before Harry left is when she comes in, she's not going to have any control over yes or no, like we gave Harry permission to fix things, but we didn't give him permission to do it, did we?

Mr. Barak: I think within a certain amount, as long as it didn't go over a certain amount.

Ms. Duque: The budget, we had a budget, and we have a budget.

Ms. West: He knew what the budget was, he knew that we only had $20,000 in there and he spent it.

Ms. Wald: But the Board had already approved and ratified that, so that's done.

Mr. Barak: Correct, right.

Ms. Wald: You're starting fresh, you're going to have a new budget season beginning October 1st, and you're going to have a new manager.

Mr. Barak: So, meaning we don't give that authority.

Ms. Duque: Correct, that's Terry's point. Terry's point is when it's additional work, you guys, and because it's a clean way of doing it, you should receive a proposal, be able to see that proposal at the meeting, understand what's being done, what's being replaced, what type of tree, and then from there, you said, yes, motion, and you move forward, so that's Terry point. I think it's a very valid one.

Ms. Wald: Right.

Ms. Duque: Ok so let's move forward, I think we're all set with that. Ok, so let's move forward then, the next item is the discussion of the financial disclosure report from the Commission on Ethics and a reminder to file, and everyone has filed, so thank you so much for that.

FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS Financial Reports

A. Approval of Check Run Summary
B. Combined Balance Sheet

Ms. Duque: Let's move forward with the financial reports, tab A is the approval of the check run summary, and tab B is the combined balance sheet. If you have any questions about those we can take them, if not, a motion to approve them both will take place.

> On MOTION by Mr. Barak seconded by Ms. West with all in favor, the Check Run Summary and the Combined Balance Sheet were approved.

SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS Supervisors Requests and Audience Comments

Ms. Duque: The next item is, Supervisor's requests, do we have any Supervisor's requests?

Ms. DiComo: So, we're still going along with the fact that you're not looking after the invoices and the bills and checking on everything that's being done?

Mr. Barak: No, she is, we just got finished saying she is.

Ms. DiComo: But it's all been turned over to the HOA.

Ms. Wald: Back up a second, no.

Ms. Duque: Ok, this is the way it works, and it's the way it's working right now. We have contracts with vendors, and there is an "X" amount that we have to pay every

month, it's a fixed amount. So, when that is being provided to us it goes to your manager, the condo manager, and the condo manager approves them, why because there is a contract between the CDD and the condo association that says, that the one overseeing the field activities of the District is your property manager of the condo association. So, she receives those invoices, and she approves them, and sends them back to us, and says yes, the work was completed, please approve. I, Juliana, look at it and say, ok yes, this is right, the amount of the contract amount, it's the right amount, yes this is right.

Ms. DiComo: Ok but that's all the easy stuff.

Ms. Duque: Yes, that's easy, ok, so when it comes to additional work that is not in your contract, I am not approving them Madeline, and they should not approve it either, they need to present that to you in a meeting and you discuss it, and from there, if you approve and the work is done, they will approve and let me know the work is completed and done, good to go, you can pay it.

Ms. DiComo: Ok, so actually neither the HOA manager or you are approving it without bringing it to the Board.

Ms. Duque: For additional work, correct.

Ms. Wald: So, if the condo manager does it on their own, and it didn't come to this Board, it's the condo's problem. So, Harry, you guys gave Harry, and when I say you guys, I'm not pointing out individuals, but as a collective body, to go ahead and spend what was left for all those plants and whatever, without the proposal. You said yes, you have that amount of money, go ahead, so Harry went, and he went overboard, it came back, Harry is gone, nobody can ask Harry any questions, and then you're one time, and one time only because you're never going to do it again is what you told Juliana at our last meeting when she was on the phone and the rest of this Board, and in the minutes, that you were approving and ratifying those invoices, but you were never doing that again, and any other time it has to come back to this Board to approve and get paid.

Ms. DiComo: Thank you.

Ms. Duque: Ok, so we are on the same page, and we have to mention that Terry was on top of this, at every single meeting.

Ms. Wald: That is correct.

Ms. DiComo: And another thing, on that south wall, don't let them get away with trying to pass invoices to put things in, or replace anything.

Ms. Duque: That's what Terry is talking about.

Ms. DiComo: But you're talking about something they already did and didn't know if they charged us, so we don't need any charges from them, they made the mistake and they got away with murder. Do you know what we had to pay for, we had to pay for all the bushes, less 10%, that was a biggie.

Ms. Duque: Ok, so let's move on a positive note, let's bring positive things to this community, to you guys, to the District, to the Board, that's what we care about right now.

Ms. West: I have one other question because I get to the point where we don't have a lot of money, and you see that we have to throw extra money in, which really wasn't ever done, it should have been kept up on, and I know it's the past, but I hope we learned our lesson and when the new manager comes in, I really think we need to think about that end and not give her the option, even though you're saying that she can't do anything, I don't want the HOA manager here to have any approval of anything.

Ms. Duque: No, but they will not have it, the direction of the Board is clear.

Ms. Wald: This Board as a collective body in the past did that, but what I just heard from you three wonderful people that are sitting here today, is that you're never going to do that again, and that's a majority.

Ms. West: Ok.

Ms. Duque: Ok, so let's move forward then, are there any other Supervisor's requests? Not hearing any, is there any audience comments, Nicole left already so there is no audience present today.

SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS Adjournment

Ms. Duque: So, a motion to adjourn will take place.

> On MOTION by Ms. West seconded by Mr. DiComo with all in favor, the meeting was adjourned.

Secretary / Assistant Secretary

Chairman / Vice Chairman